Evaminar Initiated Interview Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/668,158	HORIE ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Callie E. Shosho	1714
All Participants:	icipants: Status of Application: Allowed		
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .		(3)	
(2) Roger Lee.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 August 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .		ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:			
The division discussed			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The Land II eparate	e examiner will provide a writt こんかと・ ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Carrie Shaha			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

1 C . . . .

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lee in order to clarify, in light of applicants' amendment to claim 1 in the amendment filed 7/24/06, that the coloring agent comprises a pigment. Support for such amendment is found, for instance, on page 42, line 25-page 43, line 2 of the present specification.